

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/673,409	THOMPSON ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Arlen Soderquist	1797	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) Arlen Soderquist.

(3) _____.

(2) Mark J. Nuell.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2008
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

16,22-23,25

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed changes to claims 22-23. Applicant agreed to the changes and requested changes be made to claim 16 and that a new claim be added. Authorization was given to make the changes by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Aplicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)